## REMARKS

Claims 1-58 stand rejected in the application. In this response, Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer. Claims 56-58 have been canceled and claim 19 has been amended to correct a typographical error. No new matter has been introduced into the application. Applicant submits that claims 1 -55 are now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests such notification.

## **Double Patenting/Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 1-55 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being in unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,172.

The Office Action states that claims 1-55 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer is filed with the response. With the current response, Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer. In addition, Applicant has amended claim 19 to correct a typographical error. In particular, the word "on" and been corrected with the word "one" The allowance of claims 1-55 is therefore respectfully requested.

## Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §102

Claims 56-57 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Elsberry, et. al., U.S. Patent No. 5,711,316.

In the current response, Applicant has canceled claims 56-57 rendering the rejection moot. Applicant has canceled claims 56-57 to advance prosecution of the remaing claims and reserves the right to file claims 56-57 in a continuation application.

Claims 56-57 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko, February 2000.

In the current response, Applicant has canceled claims 56-57 rendering the rejection moot. Applicant has canceled claims 56-57 to advance prosecution of the remaing claims and reserves the right to file claims 56-57 in a continuation application.

Application No. 10/611,459

Response dated 05/09/05 Response to Office Action mailed 02/10/2005

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §103

Claims 58 is rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsberry, et. al.,

U.S. Patent No. 5,711,316.

In the current response, Applicant has canceled claim 58 rendering the rejection moot.

Applicant has canceled claim 58 to advance prosecution of the remaing claims and reserves the

right to file claim 58 in a continuation application

**Claim Objections** 

Claim 56 is objected to because of certain informalities. In particular, the word

"formations" should be changed to the word --formulations--.

In the current response, Applicant has canceled claim 58 rendering the rejection moot.

Applicant has canceled claim 58 to advance prosecution of the remaing claims and reserves the

right to file claim 58 in a continuation application

Applicant therefore respectfully requests reconsideration of the pending claims and a

finding of their allowability. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested. Please feel free to

contact the undersigned should any questions arise with respect to this case that may be

addressed by telephone.

Date: May 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

William J. Adten

Registration No. 51,393

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 3000

Chicago, IL 60606-7407

Telephone: 312-463-5000

Facsimile:

312-463-5001

-8-